Art to Science.....(or Precision)



Captain Nick Nash, CMMar, FNI





The Art!





The Science of Precision!





A Modern Cruise ship Bridge





Our Goal?



Pilote dans le cockpit d'un A320 Pilot in an A320 cockpit Photo : Guillaume GRANDIN

Réf : 22774

Tous droits sauf publicité / Not for advertising purposes

Instrument Based: Multi Nav Systems: Highly Trained



Nearly There - Checklists, Cockpit Bridge, Multi Nav systems – & Training!! "Instrument Navigators backed up with Visual Clues" – Nick Nash





'Human Error is inevitable and must be expected at any time and for that reason **we must build a system** that can detect and manage human error before it causes negative consequences'



A Resilient Bridge Organisation is when there are control functions in place to prevent risk from increasing to unacceptable levels and the plan has been briefed with realistic LIMITS set. However.....

If ship is in the safety Corridor and within the briefed set limits – Shut up!



Kevin had a funny feeling that his boss was monitoring Him Too closely!!







Various Input Possibilities for the Set Course and Set Radius:

Steering with the joystick: The new set course and the radius with which the ship is to sail can be entered at any time with the joystick. In this case, the manoeuvre is executed immediately.



It is advisable to keep the joystick port or starboard-inclined until the desired course is displayed, instead of changing course one degree at the time. The reason is that at every "click" the system is recalculating the rudder angle needed.

Steering with the NEXT data: The set course and the radius are entered as numerical values before the manoeuvre. In the PPI, the Curved Headline, defined in this way is, moved along together with the ship until the manoeuvre is triggered by pressing of the EXECUTE key.





Critical navigational elements need to be **controllable** and **observable through monitoring** by the bridge team, and are determined by:

a range of **planned** values that represent the normality of operations. If everything goes according to plan, none of the planned values would have been exceeded. **no go** area/values that cannot be exceeded (i.e. non-navigable waters, breakwaters, speeds beyond or below which it is impossible to control the vessel). If the no go value is exceeded, then the ship is either aground, has had an allision

or collision.

the **reserve** that is the difference between planned values/areas and no go values/areas. It represents the **safety margin** available for a specific critical element. The reserve can be used intentionally, in order to reasonably adapt to unplanned situations (i.e. traffic, changes in environmental conditions etc.) or not intentionally because of conning errors.

Sharing mental models in confined waters Antonio Di Lieto – Hans Hederström –





Plan: "I intend to turn keeping the conning position right of track" Reason: "Because I want to keep the port quarter within the planned corridor" Outcome: "The Cross Track Distance will be between 0 and 40m right of track"











St Thomas WICO Inner - SSTQ

Royal Class 144,000 GRT L 330m B38m Max Draft 8.60m Air Draft 60.7m Windage 13,446m Max wind 25Kts→ = 120T Swept Track 3"=56m 6"=73m 10"=96m





Made it!

















Port Study Program @ CSMART



BACKGROUND

- Carnival Corporation & Plc. Group has allocated significant resources for conducting Nautical Risk Assessments for navigationally challenging ports around the world
- This risk assessment program is conducted at CSMART
- Target is to study 20 ports per year

Aims - Port Study

-To conduct a nautical risk assessment using full mission bridge simulations leading to a determination of a level of risk of a <u>specific</u> <u>class of cruise ship</u> while entering and leaving a <u>specific port</u> under <u>various metocean conditions</u>.

-To conduct <u>mooring analysis</u> for possible ship-berth arrangements in the port leading to identification of <u>risks related to mooring</u> and to assess the <u>limiting environmental conditions</u> for mooring.

Multiple Objectives - Port Study

- Identify the generic hazards and navigational/mooring challenges
- Test various possible manoeuvring/mooring strategies
- Conduct a <u>risk assessment</u> of every individual simulator run
- Identify and test "commit point"
- Strengthen and enhance pilot-bridge team working relationship
- Share best practice between bridge team and pilots
- Agree on waypoint route, manoeuvring plan and berthing/mooring plan
- Develop the shared Mental model



2 APPRAISAL OF THE PORT

2.1 FACTS AND FIGURES

[This section is written by Julia]

2.2 HAZARDS AND NAVIGATIONAL CHALLENGES



2.2.1 HAZARDS:

- 1. Breakwater
- 2. Green buoy
- 3. Shallows on the north side, Mc Laughlin
- 4. Mooring dolphin
- 5. NW corner of berth "A"
- 6. Shallows northeast of the berth "B north"

The shallow part at "B north" is illustrated in detail with measurements below. This hazard was considered related to the static and exact mooring position and

2.2.2 NAVIGATIONAL CHALLENGES:

The study revealed that out of the various combinations of approaches & manoeuvres, together with the wind and different current conditions, some combinations were more favorable than others. Below is a brief summary of the strategies that was found to be more challenging and with higher risk.

Arrival from West South West:

- In ebb tide;
 - Swing to port (inside or outside)
- In flood tide;
 - o Swing inside

Arrival from South

- In flood tide;
 - o Swing inside

It was found that the berthing manoeuvre was similar for both berths "B north" and "B south". The main challenge was when the wind pushed on the vessels port side in the docking/undocking phase of the manoeuvre. For berth "B south" this means the vessel was pushed towards the dolphins, as for the berth "B north" there were more room for the bow making it possible for aborting the docking manoeuvre, should that be necessary.

Run 505 - docking in winds of 30 kn - 240°













Keep a heading with wind on the bow as long as possible not exposing the port side

										S	heets	Chart	ts S	SmartArt Gra	aphics WordArt		
0	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	P	Q	R	S
4 E	Berths:	Pier B Nor	th and Pier B South		Propuls	sion:			Co	nventio	nal			TTT T			
5 4	rrival Runs																
6		Simulation Scenario	Manoeuvring Strategy Descript	ion .	Wind	(from)	Current	(going to)	Wind Wa	eves (from)		Sweil (from	1			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
7	Run No.	Example: Arrival to berth 10 in NW wind and Flood current	Example: Swing bow to port in outer turning area, berthing PST, 1 Tug attached CL FWD	Tug Used	Direction (deg)	Speed (kn)	Direction (deg)	Speed (kn)	Direction (deg)	Height (m)	Direction (deg)	Height (m)	Period (s)	Risk Score	General Comments (repeat run, validation run, shiphandling error, pros, cons etc.)	(dentify Key Hazards/Challenges (only when risk score is 2 3)	Risk mitigation/Tug use strategy (only when risk score is ≥ 3)
8	101	Scenario 1 Arrival to berth B south. Wind WSW no current	Swing to port inside turning area, berthing STBD side to	na	240	15	na	na	240	0.5	na	na	na	2	Description of manoeuvre: Approach from south, port swing at breakwater, finished swing lined up with basin. Pros/Cons:	N/A	N/A
9	102	Arrival to berth B south. Wind WSW Flood current	Approach from S, swing to port into the current and wind, in line of breakwater	na	240	15	Flood	1	240	0.5	na	na	na	2	Description of manoeuvre: Port swing outside line between breakwater and green buoy. Line up, HDG 250 start moving astern.	N/A	N/A
10	103	Arrival to berth B south. Wind WSW Flood current	Approach from WSW, Stop and swing to port in the TC with center in the line between the breakwater and the green buoy.	no	240	15	Flood	1	240	0.5	na	na	na	σ	Description of manoeuvre: Approach from WSW, HDG 054, Stop and swing to port in the Turning area with center in the line between the breakwater and the		0
11	201	Scenario 2 Arrival to berth B south. Wind WSW Flood current	Strategy 1 Approach from S, swing to port outer TC, into the current and wind, stern to berth B south	no	240	15	Flood	2	240	0.5	na	na	na	2	National Network: Approach from south. Approx. 25 deg drift on approaching. Pros/Cons: The south approach enables to experience	N/A	N/A
12	202	Scenario 1 Arrival to berth B north. Wind W5W Flood current	Strategy 1 Approach from WSW, swing to port in the outer TC, stern to berth B north	na	240	15	Flood	2	240	0.5	na	ná	na	2	Description of manoeuver: Approaching from west. High speed approaching the TC due to wind and current, both on 50% steem at 2.8 cable from the green bucy, tractional bit bit rest.	N/A	N/A
13	203	Scenario 1 Arrival to berth B north. Wind W5W Flood current	Strategy 2 Approach from S, swing to port in the inner TC, stern to berth B north	na	240	15	Flood	2	240	0.5	na	na	na	3	Description of manoeuvre: Approach from south, entering the inner TC with a driftangle of 26 [°] and peed 4.6 km, need to stop as soon	shart distance to sub the vesser, who and current pushing Carning close to the 10m on the north shore Breakwater needs to be cleared by the stern before	Position in the SW corner of the TC Swing outside the breakwater
14	204	Scenario 3 Arrival to berth B north. Wind WSW Ebb current	Strategy 2 Approach from WSW, swing to STBD in the inner TC, stern to berth B north	na	240	15	Ebb	2	240	0.5	na	na	na	3	Description of manoeuver Plan to swing bow to STBD, speed 5 kn passing the green buoy. Drift 13' to port. Turned on west edge of inner TC, finished turn ending	Managing a low speed on entry when swinging inside	Positioning in TC. Keep longitudinal speed=0 while swinging
15	205	Scenario 4 Arrival to berth B south. Wind WSW Ebb current	Startegy 2 Approach from S, swing to port in the inner TC, stern to berth B south	nø	240	15	Ebb	2	240	0.5	na	na	na	з	Description of manoeuvre: South approach, swinging inside on ebb tide, needs to swing stern against the current. Ok approach, needed thrusters to keep steady.	Risk that the stern end up close to the breakwater	Control the longitudinal speed while swininging
16	205	Scenario 3 Arrival to berth B north. Wind WSW Ebb current	Startegy 1 Approach from WSW, swing to port in the outer TC, stern to berth B north	no	240	15	Ebb	2	240	0.5	na	na	na	з	Navigator: Sc Description of manoeuvre: Port swing in the outer TC, need a position more to the east, avoiding drift to west. Missed to steady up when gong astern, causing her to	Unable to swing to port due to windpreassure on STBD side. Close to green buoy while swinging	Swing to STBD to the current was observed to be preferable
17	301	Scenario 2 Arrival to berth B south. Wind WSW Flood current	Strategy 2 Approach from S, swing to port inner TC, stern to berth B south	no	240	20	Flood	2	240	0.5	na	na	na		Description of manoeuvier. Arrival from south, having a drift angle of 25-30° before the breakwater. Need to keep a safe distance of the breakwater, >100m and stop in 20° other stems of the breakwater, >100m and stop in 20° other stems of the breakwater in 26° of the stems of the breakwater.	Need to pass breakwater wit high speed Need to stop inside TC in a short distance High drift to N while swinging	Swing in the outer TC
18	302	Scenario 2 Arrival to berth B south. Wind WSW Flood current	Strategy 1 Approach from S, swing to port in the outer TC, stern to berth B south	na	240	20	Flood	2	240	0.5	na	na	na	2	Description of manoeuver: Artival from south, drift 25 deg. entered the TC and stopped early in the south side. Turned until bow in the wind, start moving astern, drift to early the south of the start of TDD to entered.	N/A	N/A
19	303	Scenario 1 Arrival to berth B north. Wind WSW Flood current	Strategy 2 Approach from WSW, swing in the inner TC, stern to berth B north	no	240	20	Flood	2	240	0.5	na	na	na		Description of manœuvers: Emergency button activated. Entered outer TC @ 7 km. The drift to STBD increased as speed slowed down caused difficulty to steer, needed	Difficult to control versel when swing to port. Difficult to control versel when swing has started. Closer to hazards. Both wind and flood surrent push vessel NE into the	Need to position high up on approach. Use strategy to swing in the outer TC.
20	304	Scenario 1 Arrival to berth B north. Wind WSW Flood current	Strategy 1 Approach from WSW, swing in the outer TC, stern to berth B north	na	240	20	Flood	2	240	0.5	na	na	na	2	Description of manoeuvre: Arrival from west, had difficulty in slowing down, wind and current pushing uhead. Emergency Button pressed. Not optimal use of	N/A	N/A

What can you find in the reports?

Mooring Analysis Results

Table 8: Mooring analysis results for Royal Princess in Kaohsiung for winds pushing the ship off the berth

Analysed berth & mooring scenarios	Position of centre of bridge wing when alongside	Operational Envelope for Mooring Operations Max beam-on / quarterly wind (pushing ship off the berth)	Comments
		[KN]	
Berth 8-10,			-Bollard capacity of bollards on pier is smaller than
STBD mooring	5m fwd of	22	mooring line MBL (70 tonnes vs 90 tonnes)
using quay	bollard 8-6		- Loading on Bollard 8-4 and bollard 10-2 reaches their safe
bollards only			working loads under these conditions
Berth 8-10,			-Bollard capacity of bollards on pier is smaller than
STBD mooring	6m fwd of	21	mooring line MBL (70 tonnes vs 90 tonnes)
using quay and	bollard 8-4	51	- Loading on Bollard 8-1 reaches it's safe working load
storm bollards			under these conditions
Berth 17-21,			
STBD mooring	5m fwd of	20	- Loading on Forward breast line and aft breast line
using quay	bollard 19-2	20	reaches their safe working loads under these conditions
bollards only			
Berth 17-21,			
STBD mooring	8m fwd of	22	- Loading on Forward breast line and Storm bollard SB-5
using quay and	bollard 18-7	52	reaches their safe working loads under these conditions
storm bollards			





Kaohsiung Mooring Analysis Report with M/V Royal Princess (Royal Class)

Mooring analysis conducted at CSMART, Almere, The Netherlands





Parts of the Daniel Conservation & pix Streep

2.3.3 SCENARIO 1: BERTH 8-10 SST - USING QUAY SIDE BOLLARDS ONLY

The mooring configuration used for doing mooring analysis for this scenario is shown below. Bollards 8-2, 8-3 and 8-4 each have two lines, bollard 10-2 and 10-3 each have three lines. The most forward and aft lines have a large plan view angle, which means that they are in efficient in transferring lateral wind loads. Details on this mooring configuration, larger figures, side view figures and cross sections can be found in Appendix E.





			Lim Alcohor	for \$780-moo	Aug.			
		7	terrar antile wing 112	that See Ned at	DOCTOR NO.			
	Fiere factorial	and and	Line Services	Dedition	Advocate Ballant	Total Lingth	Regia Regia Contana	Ranatar Angle Rinnere Science
				- (00)	(11)	(10)	10	11
Line01	F-25Pa	92	Fwd breastline	10.7	61.6	72.8	11	-45
Une02	F-23Pf	8-2	Fwd breastline	10.9	60.9	71.9	11	45
Line03	F-235f	83	Fwd breastline	10.9	37.7	48.6	19	-63
Line04	#-245a	8-3	Fwd breastline	10.7	38.0	46.7	39	-62
Dine05	F-225/	84	Fuid brits official	11.0	22.4	314	31	- 11
ine06	F-225a	84	Fwd breastline	10.1	72.5	32.6	03	-85
Dine07	F-365/_1	8.7	Fud springline	16.9	I.M.I.	35.0	18	82
1000	F-165a 1	87	Fwd springline	16.4	37.5	53.9	19	82

Une09	A-225f	30-1	Atspringting	20.0	23.5	- 485	32	-85
Une10	A-Z2Sa	10-1	Atspringline	20.2	24.0	44.2	12	-85
line11	A-26:55	10.2	Afthreaction	79	16.2	24.0	38	37
line12	A-165p	10.7	Attbreastine	83	16.7	24.9	37	15
Line13	A:145	10-2	Aftbreastine	53	20.4	25.7	14	28
line14	A-13Pp	10-3	Aftbreastline	6.1	57.1	61.2	5	Aut
Line15	A-16Ps	10-1	Atthreasting	8.3	59.2	DS.	5	UD .
Une16	A-36Pp	10-1	Aftbreastine	7.9	39.5	42.4	5	-60

Table 3: Line Allocation - Line Lengths and Line Angles

Page | 19



2.3.4 SCENARIO 2: BERTH 8-10 SST - USING QUAY SIDE AND STORM BOLLARDS

The mooring configuration used for doing mooring analysis for this scenario is shown below. Four lines go to storm bollards 5B-1 and SB-3 and two lines go to quay bollards 8-1 and 10-1. This distribution has been selected because of the different capacity of the bollards (quay bollards have 70 tonnes and the storm bollards have a capacity of 150 tonnes each). The spring lines go to bollards 8-6 and 9-5 and are approximately the same length. SB-2 has not been used, as the pretension in the lines resulted in a net forward force which could only be counteracted by the forward springs and this mooring configuration proofed to be inefficient. Details on this mooring configuration, larger figures, side view figures and cross sections can be found in Appendix E.



able 4: Line	Allocation	-LineLen	gths and	Line Angles

			the Mastin	111 578 (D-mar)	-			
			ion Distance (D	in and all	No. and	1		
Love	inem Fordeaut	-74		Graph An	Automotic Sections	14	Angl Angl Angl	14
				1001	. 246	346	11	-17
Aires CL	9.228	84	Field brisia schi rei	11.0	20.3	413	23	-23
Line (2	F.225a	8-1	Fiel broastline	10.1	40.3	40.4	23	-24
Linu GL	F-1992	58-1	Field briefs (25) me	16.4	48.5	10.0	36	-6
Line 04	8-1954	164	Field break stilling	26.4	41.7	NET	16	1
Line (6)	7.309	58-1	Find to sta station	5.4	44.4	50.2	34	-2
Linu OL	#-30La	551	Paul brita veli me	6.6	41.2	50.4	16	4
Gra CP	7-867.1	. 84	Pred Epringline	16.9	61.2	784	11	- 45
Line OL	P.3651_5	. 86	Fud springfins	86.K	60.6	17.0	11	- 65
Line 09	A119	9-5	Attspringline	20.0	8.1	76.2		-44
30mm 20.	A-2054	9.5	Atspilligine	20.1	5.6	718	5	-88
Line II	A.2092	18-1	Attreastice	6.3	36.2	42.5		20
1000 12	A-25%	561	Attraition	6.0	36.0	42.0	100	20
Linu 23	A 188	58.3	Attoreastine	24.7	M.7	584		IJ
area 34	1-251	161	Althoughtine	28.2	34.7	58.8		18
line B	A 264	10.1	AftErestSind	4.1	32.8	401		28
Line or	A licely	10.4	are main as the labor	1.0	17.4	40.4	1.0	



The wind capability diagram for this mooring configuration is shown in the following figure. This diagram is explained in Annex D.



KEY FINDINGS

- The bollards on the quay side are 70 tonnes capacity. The minimum breaking load of the mooring lines is well above the bollard capacity, which is a risk to the mooring bollards (and to the ship/surrounding people when the bollard breaks).
- For winds from "beam on and guarterly" sector (pushing ship away from pier):
 - The mooring risk increases for wind speeds higher than 22 knots.
 - For wind directions of this sector, bollard E (bollard 8-4) and bollard Q (bollard 10-2) are loaded most and are governing for the strength of the mooring system.
- For winds from "beam on and guarterly" sector (pushing ship towards the pier):
 - The mooring risk increases for wind speeds higher than 40 knots for some particular directions. In this case, bollard Q (bollard 10-2) and the fenders are the weakest link in the system. For most directions, the limits were much higher.
- For winds from "head on" sector:
 - Bollard E (bollard 8-4) is loaded most and governing for the strength of the mooring system.
 - Caution must be taken with wind speeds over 36 knots.
- For winds from "stern on" sector:
 - Bollard Q (bollard 10-2) is loaded most and governing for the strength of the mooring system.
 - Caution must be taken with wind speeds over 32 knots.
- The ship motions are relatively small and have not been used to evaluate this mooring.

2.3.7 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A summary of the results of the mooring analysis calculations are shown in below tables.

Table 7: Summary of mooring analysis results for Royal Princess in Kachsiung for wind from all four quadrants

		Operational Envelope for Monting Operations						
Ansiyarð berth & mooring scenarás	Position of centre of bridge wing when alongside	Max beam-on/ quarterly wind (poshing slig off the lawth) (kn)	Max beam-on / quarterity wind (poshing ship towards the berth) [kn]	Max Restion	Max sterry on wind			
Berth 8-10, STBD mooning using quay so itards only	Sin fwd of bollard 8-6	22	40	36	32			
Berth 8-10, STBD mooring using quay and storm bollards	Sm fwd of bollard 8-4	31	-44	48	55			
Berth 17-21, STBD mooring using quay bollards only	5m fwd of tiollard 19-2	28	70	60	60			
Berth 17-21, STBD mooring using guay and storm bollards	8m fwd of bollard 18-7	32	65	60	55			

Table 8: Mooring analysis results for Royal Princess in Kaohsung for winds pushing the ship off the berth

	Position of	Operational Envelope for Misoring Operations				
Analysed berth & mooring scenarios	centre of bridge wing when alongsatio	Max beam-on / quarterly wint (pushing ship off the berth) [bn]	Comments			
Berth 8-10, STBD mooring using quay bollards only	Sm fwd of bollard 8-6	22	-Bollard capacity of bollards on pier is smaller than mooring line MBL (70 tonnes vi 90 tonnes) - Loading on Bollard 8-4 and bollard 10-2 reaches their safe working loads under these conditions			
Berth 8-10, STBD mooring using quay and storm boll ards	6m fwd of bollard 8-4	ai	-Bollard capacity of boll ards on pier is smaller than mooring line MBL (70 tornes vs 90 tonnes) - Loading on Bollard 8-1 reaches it's safe working load under these conditions			
Berth 17-21, STBD mooring using quay bollards only	5m fwd of bollard 19-2	28	-Loading on Forward breast line and aft breast line reaches their safe working loads under these conditions			
Berth 17-21, STBD mooring using quay and storm bollards	8m fwd of bollard 18-7	32	- Loading on Forward breast line and Storm bollard 58-5 reaches their safe working loads under these conditions			

T | 27

Outcome

- Expected outcome
 - Pilotage plan created together by captains and pilots
 - Shared mental model even before pilot boards the ship
 - Only need to discuss dynamic topics like weather and traffic
 - Evidenced based guidance in case of Go No Go situations
 - Navigation and mooring conducted according to pre-determined parameters
 - Reduced risk of nautical operations



& Shiphandling Courses























& Ship Handling books!



It's a Science!

